P.L.2014, CHAPTER 127, *approved November 9*, *2015*Assembly Substitute for Assembly, No. 1678

1 AN ACT concerning DNA evidence, amending P.L.2001, c.377, and supplementing Title 2A of the New Jersey Statutes.

3

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

1516

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

29

30

3132

5

- 1. Section 1 of P.L.2001, c.377 (C.2A:84A-32a) is amended to read as follows:
- 1. a. Any <u>eligible</u> person [who was convicted of a crime and is currently serving a term of imprisonment] may make a motion before the trial court that entered the judgment of conviction for the performance of forensic DNA testing.
- (1) The motion shall be verified by the **[**convicted**]** <u>eligible</u> person under penalty of perjury and shall do all of the following:
- (a) explain why the identity of the defendant was a significant issue in the case;
- (b) explain in light of all the evidence, how if the results of the requested DNA testing are favorable to the defendant, a motion for a new trial based upon newly discovered evidence would be granted;
- (c) explain whether DNA testing was done at any prior time, whether the defendant objected to providing a biological sample for DNA testing, and whether the defendant objected to the admissibility of DNA testing evidence at trial. If evidence was subjected to DNA or other forensic testing previously by either the prosecution or the defense, the court shall order the prosecution or defense to provide all parties and the court with access to the laboratory reports, underlying data and laboratory notes prepared in connection with the DNA testing;
- (d) make every reasonable attempt to identify both the evidence that should be tested and the specific type of DNA testing sought; and
- 33 (e) include consent to provide a biological sample for DNA testing.
- 35 (2) Notice of the motion shall be served on the Attorney 36 General, the prosecutor in the county of conviction, and if known, 37 the governmental agency or laboratory holding the evidence sought

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined thus is new matter.

- 1 to be tested. Responses, if any, shall be filed within 60 days of the
- 2 date on which the Attorney General and the prosecutor are served
- 3 with the motion, unless a continuance is granted. The Attorney
- 4 General or prosecutor may support the motion for DNA testing or
- 5 oppose it with a statement of reasons and may recommend to the
- 6 court that if any DNA testing is ordered, a particular type of testing
- 7 be conducted.
- b. The court, in its discretion, may order a hearing on the motion. The motion shall be heard by the judge who conducted the trial unless the presiding judge determines that judge is unavailable.
- 11 Upon request of either party, the court may order, in the interest of
- justice, that the [convicted] eligible person who is serving a term of
- 13 <u>imprisonment at the time of the hearing</u> be present at the hearing of
- 14 the motion.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

40

41

42

43

4445

- c. The court shall appoint counsel for the **[**convicted**]** <u>eligible</u> person who brings a motion pursuant to this section if that person is indigent.
 - d. The court shall not grant the motion for DNA testing unless, after conducting a hearing, it determines that all of the following have been established:
 - (1) the evidence to be tested is available and in a condition that would permit the DNA testing that is requested in the motion;
 - (2) the evidence to be tested has been subject to a chain of custody sufficient to establish it has not been substituted, tampered with, replaced or altered in any material aspect;
 - (3) the identity of the defendant was a significant issue in the case;
 - (4) the **[**convicted**]** <u>eligible</u> person has made a prima facie showing that the evidence sought to be tested is material to the issue of the **[**convicted**]** <u>eligible</u> person's identity as the offender;
 - (5) the requested DNA testing result would raise a reasonable probability that if the results were favorable to the defendant, a motion for a new trial based upon newly discovered evidence would be granted. The court in its discretion may consider any evidence whether or not it was introduced at trial;
- (6) the evidence sought to be tested meets either of the following conditions:
 - (a) it was not tested previously;
 - (b) it was tested previously, but the requested DNA test would provide results that are reasonably more discriminating and probative of the identity of the offender or have a reasonable probability of contradicting prior test results;
 - (7) the testing requested employs a method generally accepted within the relevant scientific community; and
- (8) the motion is not made solely for the purpose of delay.

e. If the court grants the motion for DNA testing, the court 2 order shall identify the specific evidence to be tested and the DNA technology to be used.

1

3

30

31

32

33

34

- 4 (1) If the parties agree upon a mutually acceptable laboratory 5 that is accredited by [the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors Laboratory Accreditation Board or a laboratory that has a 6 7 certificate of compliance with national standards issued pursuant 8 to a nonprofit professional association of persons actively involved 9 in forensic science that is nationally recognized within the forensic 10 science community and approved by the Director of the Federal 11 Bureau of Investigation in accordance with the provisions of the 12 Federal DNA Identification Act, 42 U.S.C.A. s.14131 [from the 13 National Forensic Science Technology Center], the testing shall be 14 conducted by that laboratory.
- 15 (2) If the parties fail to agree, the testing shall be conducted by 16 the New Jersey State Police Office of Forensic [Science] Sciences 17 Laboratory. For good cause shown, however, the court may, 18 subject to the provisions of section of P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), direct the evidence to 19 20 an alternative laboratory that is accredited by [the American 21 Society of Crime Laboratory Directors Laboratory Accreditation 22 Board or a laboratory that has a certificate of compliance with 23 national standards issued pursuant to] a nonprofit professional 24 association of persons actively involved in forensic science that is 25 nationally recognized within the forensic science community and 26 approved by the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation in 27 accordance with the provisions of the Federal DNA Identification 28 Act, 42 U.S.C.A. s.14131 I from the National Forensic Science 29 Technology Center **]**.
 - The result of any testing ordered pursuant to this section shall be fully disclosed to the person filing the motion, the prosecutor and the Attorney General. If requested by any party, the court shall order production of the underlying laboratory data and notes.
- 35 The costs of the DNA testing ordered pursuant to this 36 section shall be borne by the [convicted] eligible person.
- 37 h. An order granting or denying a motion for DNA testing 38 pursuant to this section may be appealed, pursuant to the Rules of 39
- 40 DNA testing ordered by the court pursuant to this section 41 shall be done as soon as practicable.
- 42 DNA profile information from biological samples taken 43 from [a convicted] an eligible person pursuant to a motion for post-44 conviction DNA testing in accordance with the provisions of this 45 section shall be treated as confidential and shall not be deemed a 46 public record under P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.) or the

- 1 common law concerning access to public records; except as 2 provided in section 2 of P.L.2001, c.377 (C.53:1-20.37).
- k. As used in this act [the terms] and in P.L., c. (C.)

 (pending before the Legislature as this bill):
- 5 "DNA," "DNA sample," <u>"State</u> DNA databank," "CODIS" and "FBI" shall have the meaning set forth in section 3 of P.L.1994, c.136 (C.53:1-20.19).
- 8 "NDIS-participating laboratory" is a laboratory that has been 9 designated to operate CODIS and participate in the National and 10 State DNA Index System.
- 11 1. If evidence tested at a non-NDIS-participating laboratory 12 pursuant to this section reveals a DNA profile that is not that of the 13 eligible person or the victim, the court shall direct the prosecuting 14 agency appearing on the motion to request that the New Jersey State 15 Police Office of Forensic Services DNA Laboratory or other NDIS-16 participating laboratory involved in the matter submit the profile to 17 CODIS, if the requirements and prerequisites for acceptance and 18 submission are met, to determine whether it matches a DNA profile 19 of a known individual or a DNA profile from an unsolved crime.
- m. An eligible person may file a motion for the performance of forensic DNA testing with the trial court that entered the judgment of conviction. The motion may be considered in accordance with the provisions of this section only if the court finds just cause to hear the motion.
 - For a person who was convicted of a crime and is serving a sentence imposed for that criminal conviction, a determination of just cause shall be based on a reasonable probability that, if the results of the requested DNA testing were favorable, a motion for a new trial based on newly discovered evidence would be granted.
 - For a person who has been convicted of a crime and has completed serving the sentence for that conviction, a determination of just cause shall be based on a significant likelihood that, if the results of the requested DNA testing were favorable, a motion for a new trial based on newly discovered evidence would be granted.
- n. For the purposes of this section, "eligible person" means a person who was convicted of a crime:
- (1) and is currently serving a sentence imposed for that criminal
 conviction which includes a period of imprisonment; or
- (2) who has completed serving the sentence for that conviction
 and demonstrates just cause as established in subsection m. of this
 section.
- 42 (cf: P.L.2001, c.377, s.1)

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

43

44 2. (New section) a. If a party seeks to conduct DNA testing at 45 an accredited non-NDIS participating laboratory that otherwise

- 1 meets the requirements set forth in paragraphs (1) and (2) of
- subsection e. of section 1 of P.L.2001, c.377 (C.2A:84A-32a) and 2
- 3 the party seeks to submit the DNA profile information to CODIS in
- 4 accordance with subsection 1. of section 1 of P.L. 2001, c.377
- 5 (C.2A:84A-32a) the party, upon notice to the Attorney General and
- 6 to the NDIS-participating laboratory, may request the court to order
- 7 the NDIS-participating laboratory within the State to evaluate
- 8 whether the laboratory at which the party seeks to conduct DNA
- 9 testing is in compliance with the FBI Quality Assurance Standards
- 10 for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories for the purpose of
- 11 uploading crime scene profiles to CODIS. The Attorney General
- 12 may appear on the motion on his own behalf or on behalf of the
- NDIS-participating laboratory, if that laboratory is a public entity. 13
 - b. The court may order the NDIS-participating laboratory to conduct an evaluation pursuant to subsection b. of this section only if the court finds that the moving party clearly demonstrates:
 - (1) the New Jersey State Police Office of Forensic Sciences DNA Laboratory is not able to, or for practical reasons has determined not to, perform the specific testing and analysis sought by the moving party, or that its performance of the testing and analysis would not be substantially equivalent to that of the other
- 22 laboratory, or that the testing would not otherwise be appropriate;
- 23 (2) there is a significant likelihood that, if the results of the 24 requested DNA testing were favorable to the moving party, a 25 motion for a new trial based upon newly discovered evidence would
- 26 be granted; 27 (3) requiring the NDIS-participating laboratory to conduct the 28 evaluation will not delay investigations or unduly burden the
- 29 resources of the New Jersey State Police Office of Forensic
- 30 Sciences DNA Laboratory or other NDIS-participating laboratory
- 31 that may be involved in the matter; and
- 32 (4) if an evaluation were undertaken, there would be a reasonable
- likelihood that the results of the evaluation would conclude in a
- 34 finding by the NDIS-participating laboratory that the laboratory at
- 35 which the party seeks to conduct DNA testing is in compliance with
- 36 the FBI Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing
- 37 Laboratories for the purpose of uploading crime scene profiles to
- 38 CODIS, and that the results of that laboratory's DNA testing, if a
- 39 DNA profile is generated, would comply with federal requirements
- 40 for inclusion in CODIS.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

33

- 41 c. If the court orders an evaluation pursuant to subsection b. of
- 42 this section, within 120 days of receiving the court's order, the
- 43 NDIS-participating laboratory shall complete the pre-approval

1 process to determine if the non-NDIS-participating laboratory at 2 which the party is seeks to conduct DNA testing is in compliance 3 with FBI Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing 4 Laboratories, by obtaining and reviewing the records of an on-site 5 visit and assessment conducted by the FBI or another NDISparticipating laboratory. If an on-site visit and assessment have not 6 been conducted within the time frames required by federal law or 8 the laboratory does not comply with other applicable standards, or 9 the results of an on-site visit and assessment are unavailable, the 10 NDIS-participating laboratory may, within the limits of available resources, conduct its own on-site visit and assessment of the 11 laboratory at which the party seeks to conduct DNA testing, 12 13 provided that the laboratory agrees to cooperate with the on-site 14 visit and assessment and the moving party bears the costs associated

- with the on-site visit and assessment.

 d. In the event that the requirements set forth in the FBI Quality
 Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories
 following the effective date of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending
 before the Legislature as this bill) are amended or otherwise
 superseded, the NDIS-participating laboratory shall complete such
- superseded, the NDIS-participating laboratory shall complete such other process as may be prescribed for the assessment of non-NDIS-participating laboratories.
 - e. A determination by the NDIS-participating laboratory as to whether the laboratory at which the party seeks to conduct DNA testing is in compliance with FBI Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories shall not be subject to judicial review.

272829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

15

16

17

18

19

2324

25

26

- 3. (New section) Nothing in P.L. , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill) shall be construed to:
- a. create a right, obligation, or requirement regarding the preservation of evidence, including evidence that may contain a biological sample;
- b. provide a basis for a remedy or cause of action based on a failure to preserve or retain evidence, including evidence that may contain a biological sample; or
- c. affect or modify the Guidelines for the Retention of Evidence promulgated by the Attorney General and any successor guidelines or directives promulgated or issued by the Attorney General.

394041

42

4. This act shall take effect on the first day of the fourth month next following the date of enactment.

STATEMENT

1 2

The Assembly Substitute for Assembly Bill No. 1678: (1) provides for certain forensic DNA evidence obtained from a crime scene to be submitted to the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) for testing, (2) authorizes the court to order the evaluation of certain laboratories for compliance with certain Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) standards, and (3) allows certain eligible persons who were convicted of a crime to request forensic DNA testing.

Motion by Person Convicted of a Crime: Currently, under section 1 of P.L.2001, c.377 (C.2A:84A-32a), any person who has been convicted of a crime and is serving a term of imprisonment may make a motion before the court for forensic DNA testing. Under the substitute, a person who has completed a term of incarceration and demonstrates just cause also may make a motion for forensic DNA testing. A court may find that just cause exists when there is a "significant likelihood" that, if the results of the DNA testing were favorable to the person, a motion for a new trial based upon newly discovered evidence would be granted.

The substitute sets a lower standard for a person serving a term of imprisonment at the time the motion is made with the court for forensic DNA testing. Under the substitute, a court may find that just cause exists when there is a "reasonable probability" that, if the results of the DNA testing were favorable to the person, a motion for a new trial based upon newly discovered evidence would be granted.

FORENSIC DNA TESTING AND CODIS: The Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) is a software program that operates national, state, and local level databases of DNA profiles from convicted offenders, missing persons, and unsolved crime scene evidence. Data stored at the national level is kept in the National DNA Index System (NDIS). An NDIS-laboratory is one that has been designated to operate the State DNA Index System and participate in the National DNA Index System and CODIS.

Under federal law, forensic DNA analysis may be conducted by either an "NDIS" laboratory or an accredited non-NDIS laboratory. However, the accredited non-NDIS laboratory is required to comply with certain additional conditions if the samples are to be uploaded to CODIS for a search for potential DNA matches.

Under this substitute, if evidence tested at a non-NDIS laboratory reveals a DNA profile that is not the convicted person or a victim, a court is authorized to direct the prosecuting authority to request the State Police forensic DNA laboratory or other NDIS-participating laboratory to submit DNA evidence to CODIS in order to determine whether the evidence matches a DNA profile from an unsolved crime or of a known individual.

EVALUATION OF NON-NDIS LABORATORIES Because non-NDIS laboratories do not have direct access to the CODIS database, any DNA profiles they produce can be uploaded to the system only with the assistance of an NDIS laboratory. Additionally, before testing any samples in a particular case, the non-NDIS laboratory is required to be evaluated by an NDIS laboratory and receive pre-approval for samples to be eligible for uploading to CODIS for a search for potential matches. Currently, if DNA samples are tested by an accredited laboratory which has not been pre-approved by an NDIS laboratory, the results of the testing may be used in court, but may not be uploaded to CODIS for a search for potential matches.

Under this substitute, if a party seeks to conduct DNA analysis at a non-NDIS laboratory that otherwise meets the accreditation requirements set forth in section 1 of P.L.2001, c.377 (C.2A:84A-32a), and the party seeks to order a CODIS search, that party may request the court to order the NDIS laboratory to evaluate the non-NDIS laboratory. Prior to requesting the CODIS search, the party is required to notify the Attorney General and the NDIS laboratory that would be conducting the evaluation. The substitute permits the Attorney General to appear on the motion on his own behalf or the behalf of the NDIS laboratory, if the laboratory is a public entity.

If the court grants the motion, within 120 days of receiving the court order, the NDIS laboratory will be required to complete the pre-approval process to determine if the non-NDIS laboratory is in compliance with the FBI standards by obtaining and reviewing the records of an on-site visit conducted by the FBI or another NDIS laboratory. If an on-site visit and assessment have not been conducted within the federally required time frames or the non-NDIS laboratory does not comply with other applicable standards, or the results of an on-site visit and assessment are unavailable, the NDIS-participating laboratory may conduct its own on-site visit.

Under the substitute, a determination by the NDIS laboratory as to whether the laboratory at which the party seeks to conduct DNA testing is in compliance with the FBI standards would not be subject to judicial review. The substitute further provides that if the FBI requirements are amended or superseded, the NDIS laboratory will be required to complete any other process as may be prescribed for the assessment.

INTERPRETATION AND IMPLEMENTATION: The substitute provides that its provisions are not to be construed to create a right, obligation, or requirement regarding the preservation of evidence, or to provide a basis for a remedy or cause of action based on a failure to preserve or retain evidence. The substitute further provides that its provisions are not to be construed to affect or modify the Guidelines for the Retention of Evidence, and any successor guidelines, promulgated by the Attorney General.

AS for **A1678** 9

1 2 Authorizes court to order submission of DNA evidence to national database to determine whether evidence matches known

4 individual or DNA profile from an unsolved crime.