
## 51% of 300 DNA Exonerations Involved Use of Improper/Unvalidated Forensic Science: Breakdown by Discipline



<sup>\*</sup> Improper category includes: testimony or analysis which drew conclusions beyond the limits of science as known at that time; cases in which there was negligence in analysis, fabrications/alterations of reports and possible failures to conduct elimination testing or comparison; and withholding laboratory reports, analysis, data, or the very existence of evidence